

## Predicting Load Carriage Injury Risk in Recreational Hikers

Maupin, Daniel; Mikhail, Monica; Schram, Ben; Orr, Rob Marc

*Licence:*  
CC BY-NC-ND

[Link to output in Bond University research repository.](#)

*Recommended citation(APA):*

Maupin, D., Mikhail, M., Schram, B., & Orr, R. M. (2019). *Predicting Load Carriage Injury Risk in Recreational Hikers*. 168. Abstract from TRANSFORM 2019 Physiotherapy Conference, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia. [https://transform.physio/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Abstract\\_Book\\_Adelaide\\_2019.pdf](https://transform.physio/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Abstract_Book_Adelaide_2019.pdf)

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

For more information, or if you believe that this document breaches copyright, please contact the Bond University research repository coordinator.



# Predicting Load Carriage Performance in Recreational Hikers

Danny Maupin<sup>1</sup>, Monica Mikhail<sup>1</sup>, Robin Orr<sup>1, 2</sup>, Ben Schram<sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Health Sciences and Medicine, Bond Institute of Health and Sport, Bond University, Robina, QLD: <sup>2</sup>Tactical Research Unit, Bond University, Australia.



# Background

- Load carriage is a vital task to all tactical personnel
- Particularly in military populations
  - Weight 45 kg<sup>1</sup>
  - Duration < 1 hour or > 3 days<sup>2</sup>
- Has major physiological effects
  - Increases in energy cost both static and dynamic<sup>3</sup>
  - Source of injury risk<sup>4</sup>





# Advanced Tactical Load Assessment System (ATLAS)

- Designed to assign an individual level of risk prior to load carriage task
- Utilizes Military Risk Management Framework
- Based on:
  - Estimated Workload as % of VO2 Max
- Further modified by:
  - Load as a % of Body Weight
  - Previous Injury
  - Previous Load Carriage Events

Risk level	Level descriptor	Endorsing authority
1 to 2	Extreme	Chief of Army
3 to 5	High	Formation Commanders
6 to 9	Substantial	Commanding Officer
10 to 16	Medium	Officer In Charge
17 to 25	Low	Section/Platoon Commander



# Estimating Workload

- Historically three equations
  - Giovoni and Goldman – original<sup>6</sup>
  - Soule and Goldman – expanded terrain<sup>7</sup>
  - Pandolf – expanded speeds<sup>8</sup>
- Estimate individual's aerobic capacity
  - 2.4 km Run
  - 20 m Progressive Shuttle Run Test
- Estimated workload is compared to maximum aerobic capacity

Level of risk	%VO <sub>2</sub>
1	100
5	82
9	66
10	62
13	50
15	42
16	38
17	34





# Equations

$$M = 1.5 W + 2.0 (W + \underline{L})(L/W)^2 + \eta(W + L)[1.5 V^2 + 0.35 VG]$$

*M=metabolic cost (watts), W=subject's weight (kg), L=external load (kg),  $\eta$ =terrain type (graded 1.0 to 2.1), G=terrain grade (%), V=velocity (m/s)*



# Equations

$$+M = K L^2 V^2$$

*M=metabolic cost (watts), K=the proportionality factor (hands =0.015 and feet = 0.064),  
L=external load (kg), V=velocity (m/s)*



**BOND  
UNIVERSITY**  
TACTICAL RESEARCH UNIT



**TRANSFORM2019**  
PHYSIOTHERAPY CONFERENCE



# Equations

$$M = 1.5 W + 2.0 (W + \underline{L})(L/W)^2 + \eta(W + L)[1.5 V^2 + 0.35 VG] + V^2(0.015L_H^2 + 0.064L_F^2)$$

*M=metabolic cost (watts), W=subject's weight (kg), L=external load (kg),  $L_H$  = Load in hands,  $L_F$  = Load on feet,  $\eta$ =terrain type (graded 1.0 to 2.1),  $G$ =terrain grade (%),  $V$ =velocity (m/s)*





**BOND  
UNIVERSITY**  
TACTICAL RESEARCH UNIT



**TRANSFORM2019**  
PHYSIOTHERAPY CONFERENCE



## Estimating Workload

- Historically three equations
  - Giovoni and Goldman – original<sup>6</sup>
  - Soule and Goldman – expanded terrain<sup>7</sup>
  - Pandolf – expanded speeds<sup>8</sup>
  - Equation promoted by Orr**
- Estimate individual's aerobic capacity
  - 2.4 km Run
  - 20 m Progressive Shuttle Run Test
- Estimated workload is compared to maximum aerobic capacity

Level of risk	%VO2
1	100
5	82
9	66
10	62
13	50
15	42
16	38
17	34



**BOND  
UNIVERSITY**  
TACTICAL RESEARCH UNIT



**TRANSFORM2019**  
PHYSIOTHERAPY CONFERENCE



## Modifiers

- Percentage of Body Weight Load
- Previous Injury and Injury Site
- Previous Load Carriage Event

Load as a % of body weight			Change to level of risk	
Previous load -carriage event			Change to Level of Risk Matrix	
<14 days ago			+1	
14–28 days			0	
> 28 days			-1	
Other	site	not	-1	-0.5
identified above				



**BOND  
UNIVERSITY**  
TACTICAL RESEARCH UNIT



**TRANSFORM2019**  
PHYSIOTHERAPY CONFERENCE



## Methods

- Recreational hikers from Tasmania Walking Company
- Pre and Post hike questionnaire
- Self Reported
  - Metabolic Fitness
  - Weight
- Velocity collected by the Tasmania Walking Company
- Average incline determined using Google Map Software



## Results

- 31 Respondents
  - 7 Low Risk
  - 24 Medium
- 5 Total Injuries Reported

Risk Category	Level	Hikers	Injury
Medium	10	1	1
Medium	11	0	0
Medium	12	2	0
Medium	13	2	0
Medium	14	8	2
Medium	15	8	1
Medium	16	3	0
Low	17	2	0
Low	18	1	0
Low	19	4	0



# Discussion

- Limitations
  - VO2 Max based on self-reported data
  - Using averages does not account for variances along route
- Despite these limitations, this demonstrates ATLAS has capability to predict injury risk with limited sensitivity





**BOND  
UNIVERSITY**  
TACTICAL RESEARCH UNIT



**TRANSFORM2019**  
PHYSIOTHERAPY CONFERENCE



## Conclusion

- Load carriage is a physically demanding task that can result in injury
- Being able to calculate risk associated with load carriage tasks beforehand can foster mitigation strategies
- ATLAS can be utilized with recreational hikers to predict task completion
  - Kokoda “death zone”
- ATLAS can also be used in tactical context to predict injury risk and mission success

vodafone AU14:2678%

Events

vodafone AU14:2679%

Overland Track

Participants6

Length65km

Days6

Burden12kg

Cumulative Gain+802m

vodafone AU14:2579%

< Back

Jennifer T. Foster

Female46

Overland Track

30 Sep 2018 - 6 Oct 2018

6 participants

Weight12.5kg

Nights5

Days6

Distance65km

Foster

Lester

Anderson

Lars

Brooks

Steiner

Depart1pm Saturday30 September 2018

Return10pm Sunday6 October 2018

BMI20.8

Weight75kg

Height190cm

VO2 max45.1

Bay of Fires

3 Oct 2017 - 8 Oct 2017

6 participants

Weight32kg

Nights6

Days7

Distance55.12km

Lee

Lester

Anderson

Lars

Brooks

Steiner

Participants

Jennifer T. Foster

BMI20.8

Weight75.0kg

Height190cm

VO2 max42.1

Age45

SexFemale

Injury

Risk

Medium

14

Injuries (1)

Left Wrist Injury

InjuryLeft Wrist

Occurred14 days ago

Treated?✓

Pain5/10

Wineglass Bay

1 Jan 2018 - 8 Jan 2018

13 participants

Weight24kg

Nights5

Days6

Distance35.7km

Lee

Lester

Anderson

Lars

Brooks

Steiner

Lester

Anderson

Jamie Lester

BMI20.2

Weight84.1kg

Height178cm

VO2 max55.3

Age34

SexMale

Risk

Low

24

Fitness Test

2.4km Run Max Effort

11:02

2 days ago

✓

VO2 max45.1

Tomakin J. Anderson

BMI35.5

Weight94.1kg

Height188cm

VO2 max32.56

Age

Sex

Risk

High

6



# Questions?



**BOND  
UNIVERSITY**  
TACTICAL RESEARCH UNIT



**TRANSFORM2019**  
PHYSIOTHERAPY CONFERENCE



# Predicting Load Carriage Performance in Recreational Hikers

Danny Maupin<sup>1</sup>, Monica Mikhail<sup>1</sup>, Robin Orr<sup>1, 2</sup>, Ben Schram<sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Health Sciences and Medicine, Bond Institute of Health and Sport, Bond University, Robina, QLD: <sup>2</sup>Tactical Research Unit, Bond University, Australia.

**Contact:** [dmaupin@bond.edu.au](mailto:dmaupin@bond.edu.au)



# References

1. Paulson LO: Light Infantry? A perspective on load carrying and the soldier, from past to present. Australian Army Journal 2006, III(2):81–88
2. Orr RM, Pope R, Coyle J, Johnston V: Occupational Loads Carried by Australian Soldiers on Military Operations. Journal of Health Safety and the Environment 2015, 31(1):451–467.
3. Beekley MD, Alt J, Buckley CM, Duffey M, Crowder TA: Effects of heavy load carriage during constant-speed, simulated, road marching. Mil Med 2007, 172(6):592–595.
4. Orr RM, Coyle J, Johnston V, Pope R: Self-reported load carriage injuries of military soldiers. International Journal of Injury Control and Safety Promotion 2016:1–9.
5. Givoni B, Goldman RF: Predicting metabolic energy cost. Journal of Applied Physiology 1971, 30(3):429–433
6. Soule RG, Goldman RF: Terrain coefficients for energy cost prediction. Journal of Applied Physiology 1972, 32(5):706–708
7. Pandolf KB, Givoni B, Goldman RF: Predicted energy expenditure with loads while standing or walking very slowly. Journal of Applied Physiology 1977, 43:577–581.